UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,874	01/07/2004	Dushyant Sharma	6583-CON	4565
22922 REINHART B	7590 07/26/200 OERNER VAN DEUR	EXAMINER		
ATTN: LINDA KASULKE, DOCKET COORDINATOR 1000 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 2100			OYEBISI, OJO O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2011-111	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202		3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/752,874	SHARMA, DUSHYANT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	OJO O. OYEBISI	3692			
The MAILING DATE of this communication		l l			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 May 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-20</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	= ' '	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	,	` ' '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for		received			
The allastica actained office action for	a list of the sertified copies flot	10001¥0 u .			
Attachmont/o					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

In the amendment filed on 05/08/2007, the following have occurred: claims 1-9, 11, 14-15 and 20 have been amended; claim 12 has been cancelled and claims 1-11 and 13-20 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 13-14, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Remington et al (US PAT: 6,968,319) in view of Haseltine et al (Haseltine hereinafter, US PAT: 6578015).

Re claims 1-5. Remington discloses an electronic bill presentment and payment system, said system comprising: a database for storing data relating to a plurality of bills sourced from a plurality of billers, and corresponding to a plurality of consumers (i.e., The bill 128 and payment remittance information 130 are received at the network port

170 and stored in data memory 176 within a data structure 190. The data structure 190 has several data fields which contain information specified by the biller and other data fields reserved to hold data entered by the consumer. Some of the data fields are static or closed fields in that the consumer is prohibited from altering the data kept in those fields. Other data fields are dynamic or open fields which allow the consumer to supply the data or alter the existing data. The specific construction of the data structure 190 is defined by the biller, with the Fig. 6 construction being one possible example, see col.9 lines 11-60); a biller interface communicating with said database for allowing at least some of said plurality of billers to review and obtain reports in real time from data relating to said billers and status of said biller's bills stored in said database (see col.10 lines 7-20); a portal interface element communicating with said database for supporting a plurality of visual interfaces, each of said visual interfaces allowing a consumer to review and pay said consumer's bills; a consumer interface communicating with said database for allowing said consumer to change information in said database (see fig.7, 8, and 9, also see col.9 lines 11-60); and an authentication element communicating with said database for determining whether said consumer meets certain predetermined requirements before a new account is authorized to allow said consumer to access said database (see col.9 lines 59-64, also see col.8 lines 18-20) (see abstract). Remington does not explicitly disclose a conversion processor communicating with said database; said conversion processor adapted to convert data received from said plurality of billers into a format compatible with said database. However, Haseltine makes this disclosure (see the abstract, also see col.11 lines 30-

Art Unit: 3692

65). Thus it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Remington and that of Haseltine in order to transform the data in a format appropriate for storage in the electronic bill presentment and payment database.

Re claim 6. Remington further discloses a system, further comprising: a biller authentication element communicating with said database, said biller authentication element adapted to authenticate each of said plurality of billers (see col.8 lines 34-38, also see col.8 lines 18-20).

Re claims 7, 8. Remington does not explicitly disclose a system, further comprising: a bill payment processor capable of communicating with a plurality of financial institutions to couple said financial institutions to said database to facilitate payment of bills. However, Haseltine makes this disclosure (see fig.3 element 355). Thus it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Remington and that of Haseltine to remit the processed customer payments directly to the biller.

Re claims 9-11. Remington further discloses a method for electronic billing presentment and payment, said method comprising the steps of: storing data relating to a plurality of bills sourced from a plurality of billers, and corresponding to a plurality of consumers in a database (i.e., The bill 128 and payment remittance information 130 are received at the network port 170 and stored in data memory 176 within a data structure 190. The data structure 190 has several data fields which contain information specified by the biller and other data fields reserved to hold data entered by the consumer. Some of the data fields are static or closed fields in that the

Art Unit: 3692

consumer is prohibited from altering the data kept in those fields. Other data fields are dynamic or open fields which allow the consumer to supply the data or alter the existing data. The specific construction of the data structure 190 is defined by the biller, with the Fig. 6 construction being one possible example, see col.9 lines 11-60); supporting a plurality of visual interfaces, each of said visual interfaces allowing a consumer to review and pay said consumer's bills; determining whether said consumer meets certain predetermined requirements before a new account is authorized to allow said consumer to access said database; communicating with said database for allowing said consumer to change information in said database; and allowing said consumer to pay bills from one of said visual interfaces (see fig.7, 8, and 9, see col.9 lines 11-60, see col.9 lines 59-64, also see col.8 lines 18-20) (see abstract). Remington does not explicitly disclose converting data received from said plurality of billers into a format compatible with said database. However, Haseltine makes this disclosure (see the abstract, also see col.11 lines 30-65). Thus it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Remington and that of Haseltine in order to transform the data in a format appropriate for storage in the electronic bill presentment and payment database. Re claim 13. Remington further discloses a method further comprising the step of: allowing said consumer to input personal information that can be used to identify and authenticate said consumer (see col.9 lines 59-64, also see col.8 lines 18-20) Re claim 14. Remington further discloses a method further comprising the step of: communicating by each of said plurality of billers; with said database for authenticating

Art Unit: 3692

each of said plurality of billers (see col.8 lines 34-38, also see col.8 lines 18-20).

Re claim 17. Remington further discloses a method, further comprising the step of: allowing a biller to modify, online, the format in which a bill is presented to said consumer on said visual interface (i.e., The biller controls what information and the particular format that the bill is presented to the consumer. The bill may be formatted in a particular manner that allows the remittance information to seamlessly integrated with the biller's reconciliation process. The bill may define the nature, semantics, and format of other information/data items that the biller would like returned as part of the electronic remittance in addition to the standard data used to reconcile a statement For example, the biller might like to collect from the consumer items of dispute, comments, advertising responses, response forms (e.g., signing up for a new service), purchasing (e.g., buying something advertised), and the like, see col.10 lines 7-20, also see abstract).

Re claim 18. Remington further discloses a method, further comprising the step of: allowing said consumer to modify, online, the format in which a bill is presented to said consumer on said visual interface (see col.9 lines 11-60).

Re claim 19. Remington further discloses a method, further comprising the step of: allowing said consumer to pay bills from a plurality of visual interfaces, wherein each of said visual interfaces resides on a different Internet Website (see fig.8 and 9, also see abstract).

Re claim 20. Remington further discloses a method for electronic billing presentment and payment, said method comprising the steps of: storing data relating to a plurality of

bills sourced from a plurality of billers, and corresponding to a plurality of consumers in a database (i.e., The bill 128 and payment remittance information 130 are received at the network port 170 and stored in data memory 176 within a data structure 190.

The data structure 190 has several data fields which contain information specified by

the biller and other data fields reserved to hold data entered by the consumer. Some of the data fields are static or closed fields in that the consumer is prohibited from altering the data kept in those fields. Other data fields are dynamic or open fields which allow the consumer to supply the data or alter the existing data. The specific construction of the data structure 190 is defined by the biller, with the Fig. 6 construction being one possible example, see col.9 lines 11-60); communicating with said database for authenticating each of said plurality of billers; allowing at least some of said plurality of billers to review and obtain reports in substantially real time from data relating to said billers and status of said biller's bills stored in said database (see col.10 lines 7-20); supporting a plurality of visual interfaces, each of said visual interfaces allowing a consumer to review and pay said consumer's bills (see fig.7, 8, and 9, also see col.9 lines 11-60); determining whether said consumer meets certain predetermined requirements before a new account is authorized to allow said consumer to access said database, said determining step including obtaining consumer credit information; allowing said consumer to input personal information that can be used to identify and authenticate said consumer wherein said input information is compared to said consumer credit information; communicating with said database for allowing said consumer to change information in said database; and allowing said

consumer to pay bills from one of said visual interfaces (see col.9 lines 59-64, also see col.8 lines 18-20) (see abstract). Remington does not explicitly disclose converting data received from said plurality of billers into a format compatible with said database. However, Haseltine makes this disclosure (see the abstract, also see col.11 lines 30-65). Thus it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Remington and that of Haseltine in order to transform the data in a format appropriate for storage in the electronic bill presentment and payment database.

3. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Remington in view of Haseltine as in applied to claim 9 supra, and further in view of Schutzer (US PAT: 6,292,789).

Re claim 15. Remington does not disclose a method further comprising the step of: allowing said consumer to inquire online about status of at least one bill, said inquiry being conveyed to particular billers. However, Schutzer makes this disclosure (see fig.20). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Remington and Schutzer to speed up bill presentment and payment process.

Re claim 16. Remington does not disclose a method, further comprising the step of: automatically notifying a biller when a consumer has paid a bill. However, Schutzer makes this disclosure (see col.15 lines 30-34). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Remington and Schutzer to speed up bill presentment and payment process.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 13-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OJO O. OYEBISI whose telephone number is (571) 272-8298. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30A.M-5:30P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD E. CHILCOT can be reached on (571)272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FRANTZY POINVIL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Au 3692